Mitigation Translocation as a Management Strategy for Human-Snake Conflict in California

By Megan Rottenborn, Robin Bedard, and Dr. Emily Taylor
Biological Sciences, California Polytechnic State University

Background

Urbanization & Climate Change. In recent years, a global increase in urbanization has caused an increase in the potential for human-wildlife conflict, which often concerns human residents that experience unwanted wildlife visitors to their properties and is problematic for the wildlife that face increased risks of mortality due to the overlap of their habitat with human-occupied areas (Marchini & Crawshaw, 2015; Murray & St. Clair, 2015). This is quickly becoming evident in the case of human-snake conflict, as increased urbanization has been associated with an increase in the number of landowners encountering unwanted or "nuisance" snakes on their property, with a "nuisance" snake being defined as a snake that is perceived as a threat to human health or safety or is persistent and perceived as an annoyance (ny.gov, n.d.; Pitts et al., 2017). Additionally, climate change is predicted to affect the distribution of many snake species, potentially causing further increase in the overlap of some species' distributions and human-occupied areas, which has the potential to increase human-snake conflict even further (Nori et al., 2014). This is a concern for native snake populations, as snakes are at an especially high risk of mortality when they encounter humans due to the fear that many humans possess toward them (Pandey et al., 2016; Murray & Foote, 1979; Sasaki et al., 2012).

Human-Snake Conflict. When humans encounter an unwanted snake on their property, they essentially have three options: wait for it to leave on its own, move it or have it moved by someone else, or euthanize it. Euthanasia is typically considered the least ideal option because it is most often done in a manner which is inhumane to the individual and can have adverse effects on local ecosystems and wildlife populations, especially in the case of endangered/threatened species or species of concern (Bluett, 2001; Julien et al., 2010; Henkel & Ziccardi, 2017; Warren, 2014). Additionally, the act of trying to kill a wild animal, especially a venomous snake, is often more dangerous to the human themself than either of the other two options (Roma, 2018; Malhotra et al., 2021). For these reasons, the most ideal option in the case of human-snake conflict is for the human to leave the snake alone and let it leave the property on its own. However, many people are dissatisfied with this option and choose to have the snake removed from their property by businesses or willing volunteers (Bateman et al., 2021; Pitts et al., 2017). People who are willing to translocate "nuisance" snakes–whether as part of a business, government agency, or as a volunteer–can be found on the internet associated with business websites or through snake relocator directories (example: Facebook's Free Snake Relocation Directory).

Mitigation Translocation. Mitigation translocation is the practice of moving individual animals away from human-occupied areas to mitigate human-wildlife conflict and is becoming an increasingly common method of managing human conflict with "nuisance" snakes (Bradley et al., 2021; Germano et al., 2015; Sullivan et al., 2015; Pitts et al., 2017). Mitigation translocation can be further broken down into two subcategories: short-distance translocation and long-distance translocation. This distinction is necessary as the distance that a snake is translocated can have impacts on its behavior, mortality, and its likelihood of returning to human-occupied areas (Corbit & Hayes, 2022). While the purpose of mitigation translocation is to decrease snake mortality by removing it from a human-occupied area, several studies have shown that long distance translocations may cause abnormal movement, increased stress hormones, and increased mortality (Corbit & Hayes, 2022; Cornelis, 2021; Heiken et al., 2016), while other studies have indicated that snakes relocated a short-distance are more likely to return to their original site of capture (Corbit & Hayes, 2022; Nowak et al., 2002). Although more research is needed on species-specific responses to different types of translocation, long-distance translocation tends to result in poorer outcomes for the translocated snakes and has the potential to interfere with natural population ecology, which is why short distance translocation is generally recommended.

Objectives. Mitigation translocation should ideally result in decreased snake mortality and decreased human-snake conflict, and the best way to ensure that both of these goals are achieved is to provide relocators with research-based education and/or training through a permitting process. Well-informed snake translocations may not only have positive impacts on snake mortality and snakebite incidence, but hopefully will also bring awareness to the general importance of snake conservation. By making information on snake mitigation translocation more accessible to the public and to relocators, we hope that in the future more people who are willing to translocate snakes for mitigation purposes will be able to do so and that more people will call upon said translocators to move unwanted snakes from their properties rather than turning to inhumane methods of euthanasia. Long term goals to aid in the snake conservation effort are to educate the public on the ecological benefits that snakes provide and improve their understanding of how to safely avoid interacting with venomous snakes so that people may develop a better appreciation for them and be more likely to advocate for their protection.

Terminology

Term	Definition
Nuisance snake	a snake that is perceived as a threat to human health or safety, or is persistent and perceived as an annoyance*
Relocation/ Translocation	human-mediated movement of living organisms from one area, with release in another**
Conservation Translocation	the intentional movement and release of a living organism where the primary objective is a conservation benefit**
Mitigation Translocation	movement of individuals to solve human–animal conflict, rather than to achieve a broader conservation purpose***
Short-distance Translocation (SDT)	translocation to a site within typical home range (variable among species but typically <½ mile)
Long-distance Translocation (LDT)	translocation to a site outside typical home range (variable among species but typically >½ mile)

*(ny.gov, n.d.)
**(IUCN/SSC, 2013)
***(Sullivan et al., 2015)

Rattlesnake Ecology in California

Habitat. Rattlesnakes are the only venomous snake native to California and play important roles in a variety of ecosystems and habitats by controlling small mammal populations, thus controlling diseases carried by small mammals, and acting as prey items themselves for mammals, birds, and other snake species. Rattlesnakes are cryptic, peaceful species that tend to spend most of their time hiding in mammalian burrows, tall grasses, or under the cover of rocks and logs.

Behavior. Rattlesnakes are ambush predators, meaning that they sit and wait for prey to come along before striking and envenomating it and then, once immobilized, swallowing it whole. Rattlesnakes, like many animals, have a fear of humans and have no interest in striking us, and therefore will only do so in self-defense if they feel cornered or threatened. When encountered in the wild, it should be remembered that these organisms are peaceful by nature and are critical components of their ecosystems who should be given a respectful distance and left alone.

Human Interaction. As an increasing number of humans are living in and around rattlesnake habitat, rattlesnakes will occasionally find themselves in human-occupied areas such as yards and gardens. These situations often warrant mitigation translocation when human or pet safety is compromised, and relocators may use safe methods to remove the snake from the human-occupied area and release it into its natural habitat nearby.

General Relocation Recommendations. Handling of venomous snakes such as rattlesnakes should only be done by trained individuals using snake tongs or a snake hook. The snake should be placed into a hard, sealable container, such as a bucket with a secured lid, and then released as soon as possible into suitable habitat (natural area with plenty of cover materials such as rocks, trees, and logs) preferably under ¼ mile away, in areas away from manmade structures and roads.

Rattlesnakes of California

(All information in this table obtained directly from californiaherps.com, courtesy of Gary Nafis)

Species	Physical Description	Distribution	Habitat
Western Rattlesnake (*Crotalus oreganus*) 	The ground color is variable: olive-green, gray, brown, golden, reddish brown, yellowish, or tan. Dark brown or black blotched markings, usually with dark edges and light borders, mark the back, with corresponding blotches on the sides. Dorsal blotches mark the front 2/3 of the body, change to dark bars on the body and dark and light rings on the tail which are well-defined and of uniform width. The underside is pale, sometimes weakly mottled. Usually has a light stripe extending diagonally from behind the eye to the corner of the mouth.	 Red = *C. o. oreganus* Blue = *C. o. helleri* Orange = *C. o. lutosus*	Inhabits rocky hillsides, talus slopes and outcrops, rocky stream courses, rocky areas in grasslands, mixed woodlands, montane forests, pinyon juniper, sagebrush; seaside dunes, desert scrub, grassy plains, rocky hillsides, chaparral, open woodlands, agricultural areas.

Red Diamond Rattlesnake (*Crotalus ruber*) 	Variable in ground color; pink, reddish-tan, reddish-brown or brick red. Diamond-shaped blotches, usually with light edges, mark the back. The underside is usually dull yellow and unmarked. Black and white rings, similar in width, or with the white rings slightly wider, circle a thick tail just before the rattle. The ring adjacent to the rattle is usually black.		Inhabits arid scrub, coastal chaparral, oak and pine woodlands, rocky grassland, cultivated areas. On the desert slopes of the mountains, it ranges into rocky desert flats.
Western Diamond-backed Rattlesnake (*Crotalus atrox*) 	The ground color and the intensity of the pattern are variable, often matching the habitat; gray, brown, olive, tan, or yellowish. Diamond-shaped blotches on the back are brown or black, with light edges. Markings are sometimes indefinite giving a dusty overall appearance. Broad black and white rings, fairly equal in width, circle a thick tail just before the rattle. The ring adjacent to the rattle is usually black. A light stripe extends from behind the eye diagonally to the upper lip in front of the end of the jaw crossing over the lip. (The light stripe behind the eye on the similar Northern Mojave Rattlesnake extends back beyond the end of the jaw and does not cross the lip.)		In California, inhabits only desert areas in the southern Mohave Desert and throughout most of the Sonoran Desert in California. May also be found in areas in the desert modified by urban development or agriculture. The species throughout its range inhabits arid and semiarid areas including plains and mountains, woodlands and pine forests, deserts, canyons and rocky vegetated foothills.

Sidewinder (*Crotalus cerastes*) 	Pale cream, tan, brown, pink, or grayish back color usually closely matches the soil surface allowing the snake to blend in with the background. Around 40 darker blotches on the back. A dark stripe extends through each eye. The sidewinder has rough, keeled scales, which aid in its unique sidewinding locomotion. Its supraoculars (triangular projections over each eye) are pointed and upturned giving them a horn-like appearance.	 Red = *C. c. cerastes* Orange = *C. c. laterorepens*	Inhabits primarily areas of wind-blown sands, especially where sand hummocks are topped with vegetation. Also found in hardpan, open flats, rocky hillsides, and other desert areas, especially those grown with creosote bush, where the terrain is open, not obstructed by rocks or vegetation, allowing the broad sidewinding locomotion.
Speckled Rattlesnake (*Crotalus mitchellii*) 	Shows a great variety of body coloration which usually allows the snake to blend into its environment: off-white, yellowish, gray, tan, pinkish, pale orange, or brown. Snakes from dark lava bed environments can be almost all black. The body is marked with a vague pattern consisting of dark speckled banded markings. Dark and light rings surround a thick tail. The tail rings are in considerable color contrast with the body color, with the terminal rings being black and with an ash-gray ground color on the tail often present.	 Red = *C. mitchellii pyrrhus* Orange = *C. stephensi*	Associated mostly with arid areas strewn with rocks and boulders - alongside buttes, mesas, and desert outcroppings, but sometimes found on loose soil. Occurs in areas vegetated by sagebrush, creosote, thornscrub, chaparral, pinyon-juniper woodland, succulent desert.

Mojave Rattlesnake (*Crotalus scutulatus*) 	Ground color varies from greenish gray, yellow, tan, olive green, to brown. Irregular, dark, well-defined, diamond or near diamond-shaped dorsal markings. Black and white rings surround a thick tail. The black rings are narrower than the light rings, and often offset. A light stripe runs from behind the eye diagonally to the upper lip beyond the end of the jaw, but does not cross over the lip. (The posterior light stripe of the Western Diamond-backed Rattlesnake extends to the upper lip in front of the end of the jaw, crossing over the lip.)		Inhabits grassland, desert scrub, rocky slopes, creosote bush flats, open juniper woodland, and light chaparral.
Panamint Rattlesnake (*Crotalus stephensi*) 	Shows a great variety of body coloration which usually allows the snake to blend into its environment: tan, yellowish, orangish, gray, off-white, brown. The body is marked with a pattern consisting of dark speckled banded markings, which can be vague or distinct. A dark band or bands on the tail, but not usually alternating with light bands. The ground color of the tail is generally the same as the body color, not contrasting sharply with it. The last dark tail bands often seem to fuse together into one large black band just before the rattle.	 Red = *C. mitchellii pyrrhus* Orange = *C. stephensi*	Associated mostly with habitats composed of rocky outcrops and boulders, but also found in creosote bush and cactus deserts and open coniferous woodlands.

Translocation Case Studies on California Rattlesnakes

Species	Methods	Conclusions	Reference
Western Rattlesnake (*Crotalus oreganus*)	Measured corticosterone and testosterone levels of 14 adult males following 30 km LDT	Snakes have acute stress response to LDT	(Heiken et al., 2016)
	Tracked movement, body condition, and mortality of 14 adult males after 500m SDT	SDT doesn't have a significant negative impact on snakes, but they may return to point of capture	(Brown et al., 2009)
	Hourly body temp was tracked following weekly SDTs (225 m) of 22 adult male snakes	No long-term effect of SDT on body temp.	(Holding et al., 2014)
	Tracked activity range size, hormonal stress response, body condition and behavior of 22 adult males after 225m SDT	SDT affected activity range size but not physiology. Most snakes returned to point of capture fairly quickly.	(Holding et al., 2014)
	Compared volumes of medial, lateral, and dorsal cortices as well as numbers of 5-bromo-2' -deoxyuridine(BrdU)-labeled cells in the MC and DC in translocated versus control snakes	SDT causes greater navigational demands than control snakes	(Holding et al., 2012)
Red Diamond Rattlesnake (*Crotalus ruber*)	Compared movement patterns and survivorship of SDT (50-400m) and LDT (>716m) C. ruber individuals	LDT snakes less likely to return to capture site. No difference in mortality between SDT and LDT snakes. Authors suggest that LDT may be viable option.	(Corbit & Hayes, 2022)

	Compared movement patterns and survivorship of "resident", SDT (97-340 m), and LDT (856-1090 m) C. ruber individuals	Similar survivorship in relocated and resident snakes. LDT snakes less likely to return to point of capture. Authors recommend SDT for mitigation and LDT for possible future repatriation.	(Brown et al., 2008)
Western Diamond-backed Rattlesnake (*Crotalus atrox*)	Observed movement patterns and survivorship of adult C. atrox individuals following LDT (0.6 km-2 km)	LDT snakes had high mortality and made significant effort to return to point of capture	(Nowak et al., 2002)

Future Research. As human-snake conflict is likely to persist and potentially increase in coming years, it is important that mitigation translocation is well-understood. As shown above, several translocation studies on California rattlesnakes have been done, but much more research is required to confidently relocate nuisance snakes in a manner which is the least detrimental to their ecology and physiology yet also minimizes the chances of the snake's return to its capture site. It is obvious that translocation of the Western Rattlesnake, *Crotalus oreganus,* is the most well-studied among California-native rattlesnakes, which is due to its wide distribution and greater overlap with human development that causes it to occur in human-occupied places more frequently than other species. Despite the results of existing studies on *C. oreganus,* more translocation studies on this species would be beneficial as it is likely to persist as the protagonist in human-snake conflict in the future. Likewise, species such as *C. ruber* and *C. atrox* also frequently inhabit human-occupied areas and would also benefit from further translocation research, along with other understudied species such as *C. mitchellii, C. cerastes, C. scutulatus,* and *C. stephensi.* Funding for this type of research is likely to benefit conservation of native rattlesnake species by reducing human-caused snake mortality as well as the wellbeing of humans by reducing snakebite risk.

Recommendations. Based on the results of these studies, the current recommendations for mitigation snake relocation tend to be in favor of short-distance relocation, which is variable among species based on their typical home-range size, but in general should be no more than a ½ mile away from point of capture. Additionally, we encourage the development of a permitting process in California for mitigation relocation of snakes so that relocators may be educated on optimal relocation practices and may report snake relocations to the appropriate state agency. Since many snake species may be sensitive to the effects of climate change and urbanization in the foreseeable future, these measures are necessary to monitor snake populations and advocate for their conservation in the face of human-snake conflict. By encouraging mitigation translocation as opposed to euthanasia, hopefully people will have a greater respect for snakes and may be more willing to coexist with them peacefully.

References

Bateman, H. L., Brown, J. A., Larson, K. L., Andrade, R., & Hughes, B. (2021). Unwanted residential wildlife: Evaluating social-ecological patterns for snake removals. Global Ecology and Conservation, 27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01601

Bluett, R. D. (2001). Drowning is not euthanasia: springboard or siren's song? Wildlife Society Bulletin (1973-2006), 29(2), 744–748. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3784205

Bobadilla Suarez, M., Ewen, J. G., Groombridge, J. J., Beckmann, K., Shotton, J., Masters, N., Hopkins, T., & Sainsbury, A. W. (2015). Using qualitative disease risk analysis for herpetofauna conservation translocations transgressing ecological and geographical barriers. EcoHealth, 14(S1), 47–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-015-1086-4

Bradley, H. S., Tomlinson, S., Craig, M. D., Cross, A. T., & Bateman, P. W. (2021). Mitigation translocation as a management tool. Conservation Biology, 36(1). https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13667

Brown, T. K., Lemm, J. M., Montagne, J.-P., Tracey, J. A., & Alberts, A. C. (2008). Spatial ecology, habitat use, and survivorship of resident and translocated Red Diamond Rattlesnakes (*Crotalus ruber*). In The Biology of Rattlesnakes (pp. 377–394). essay, Loma Linda University Press.

Corbit, A. G., & Hayes, W. K. (2022). Human-wildlife conflict at a suburban–wildlands interface: Effects of short- and long-distance translocations on red diamond rattlesnake (*Crotalus ruber*) activity and survival. Diversity, 14(2), 130. https://doi.org/10.3390/d14020130

Cornelis, J. (2021). Killing them softly: A review on snake translocation and an Australian case study. Herpetological Journal, (Volume 31, Number 3), 118–131. https://doi.org/10.33256/31.3.118131

Fitch, H. S. (1949). Study of snake populations in Central California. American Midland Naturalist, 41(3), 513. https://doi.org/10.2307/2421774

Germano, J. M., Field, K. J., Griffiths, R. A., Clulow, S., Foster, J., Harding, G., & Swaisgood, R. R. (2015). Mitigation-driven translocations: Are we moving wildlife in the right direction? Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 13(2), 100–105. https://doi.org/10.1890/140137

Heiken, K. H., Brusch, G. A., Gartland, S., Escallón, C., Moore, I. T., & Taylor, E. N. (2016). Effects of long distance translocation on corticosterone and testosterone levels in male rattlesnakes. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 237, 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2016.07.023

Henkel, L. A., & Ziccardi, M. H. (2017). Life and death: How should we respond to oiled wildlife? Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management, 9(1), 296–301. https://doi.org/10.3996/062017-jfwm-054

Holding ML, Owen DAS, & Taylor EN. (2014). Evaluating the thermal effects of translocation in a large-bodied pitviper. Journal of Experimental Zoology, 321A:442–449.

Holding, M. L., Frazier, J. A., Taylor, E. N., & Strand, C. R. (2012). Experimentally altered navigational demands induce changes in the cortical forebrain of free-ranging Northern Pacific rattlesnakes (*Crotalus o. oreganus*). Brain, Behavior and Evolution, 79(3), 144–154. https://doi.org/10.1159/000335034

IUCN/SSC (2013). Guidelines for Reintroductions and Other Conservation Translocations. Version 1.0. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN Species Survival Commission, viiii + 57 pp.

Brown, J. R., Bishop, C. A., & Brooks, R. J. (2009). Effectiveness of short-distance translocation and its effects on western rattlesnakes. Journal of Wildlife Management, 73(3), 419–425. https://doi.org/10.2193/2007-558

Julien, T. J., Vantassel, S. M., Groepper, S. R., & Hygnstrom, S. E. (2010). Euthanasia methods in field settings for wildlife damage management. Human-Wildlife Interactions, 4(2), 158–164. http://www.jstor.org/stable/24868836

Malhotra, A., Wüster, W., Owens, J. B., Hodges, C. W., Jesudasan, A., Ch, G., Kartik, A., Christopher, P., Louies, J., Naik, H., Santra, V., Kuttalam, S. R., Attre, S., Sasa, M., Bravo-Vega, C., & Murray, K. A. (2021). Promoting co-existence between humans and venomous snakes through increasing the herpetological knowledge base. Toxicon: X, 12, 100081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2021.100081

Marchini, S., & Crawshaw, P. G. (2015). Human–wildlife conflicts in Brazil: A fast-growing issue. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 20(4), 323–328. https://doi.org/10.1080/10871209.2015.1004145

Holding, M. L., Frazier, J. A., Dorr, S. W., Henningsen, S. N., Moore, I. T., & Taylor, E. N. (2014). Physiological and behavioral effects of repeated handling and short-distance translocations on free-ranging Northern Pacific rattlesnakes (*Crotalus oreganus oreganus*). Journal of Herpetology, 48(2), 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1670/11-314

Murray, E. J., & Foote, F. (1979). The origins of fear of snakes. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 17(5), 489–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(79)90065-2

Murray, M. H., & St. Clair, C. C. (2015). Individual flexibility in nocturnal activity reduces risk of road mortality for an urban carnivore. Behavioral Ecology, 26(6), 1520–1527. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arv102

Nafis, G. (n.d.). Californiaherps.com, reptiles and amphibians of California, herps of California, California herpetology. California Herps. Retrieved August 17, 2022, from https://californiaherps.com/index.html

Nori, J., Carrasco, P. A., & Leynaud, G. C. (2013). Venomous snakes and climate change: Ophidism as a dynamic problem. Climatic Change, 122(1-2), 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-1019-6

ny.gov. (n.d.). Remove or "Take" Nuisance Animals Legally. Remove or "Take" Nuisance Animals Legally - NYS Dept. of Environmental Conservation. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from https://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/81531.html

Pandey, D. P., Subedi Pandey, G., Devkota, K., & Goode, M. (2016). Public perceptions of snakes and snakebite management: Implications for conservation and human health in southern Nepal. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-016-0092-0

Pitts, S. L., Hughes, B. D., & Mali, I. (2017). Rattlesnake nuisance removals and urban expansion in Phoenix, Arizona. Western North American Naturalist, 77(3), 309. https://doi.org/10.3398/064.077.0304

Romo, V. (2018, June 7). Man kills snake; snake tries to kill him back. NPR. Retrieved August 22, 2022, from https://www.npr.org/2018/06/07/618047447/man-kills-snake-snake-tries-to-kill-him-back

Sasaki, K., Fox, S. F., & Duvall, D. (2012). Reproductive Ecology and Human-Caused Mortality in the Japanese Mamushi Snake (*Gloydius blomhoffii*) on the Northernmost Main Island of Japan. Journal of Herpetology, 46(4), 689–695. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23327195

Sullivan, B.K., Nowak, E.M. & Kwiatkowski, M.A. (2015), Problems with mitigation translocation of herpetofauna. Conservation Biology, 29: 12-18. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12336

Warren, D. K. (2014). Reptile euthanasia — no easy solution? Pacific Conservation Biology, 20(1), 25. https://doi.org/10.1071/pc140025